



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,780	03/08/2004 Julie Savalas		1894.001US1	1593	
7	590 09/27/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	Lundberg, Woessner	RAMIREZ,	RAMIREZ, RAMON O		
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		1'	Application No.	Applicant(s)	St		
	Office Anti- Downson		10/795,780	SAVALAS, JULIE			
`	Office Action Summary	1	Examiner	Art Unit			
			RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	irs on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress		
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. 30) days, a reply wi tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a reply ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (3 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS use the application to become ABANI	r be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely 5 from the mailing date of this co			
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Mar</i>	ch 2004.				
•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)□	, <u> </u>						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 20	<u> 04</u> is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ object	ted to by the Examiner	r .		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
<u></u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exar	niner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PT	ГО-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents he documents he of the priority	nave been received. nave been received in Apply of documents have been rec PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	• •						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO-048/	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTC)-152)		

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 21 claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 3 recites the adjective "sufficiently" to define the curve of the slots. This clause is considered indefinite, since "sufficiently" is not a definite term, which mean varies from person to person. The examiner suggests deleting it from the claims.

Claim 7 is indefinite since it a base but a base has already recited in claim 1; is this a different base? Please clarify. For the purpose of this action, the clause "sufficiently" is not read into the claims, and the base is considered to be an additional base.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christopher (Pat No 732,828).

The patent to Christopher shows a device capable of receiving documents comprising a base (bottom of the device), and pyramidal body (see Figs 3 and 4) having a vertical axis (an imaginary axis extending from the base to the top) having upwardly extending edge (from point A to the to) and multiple curved slots (D) on the slating edge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christopher in view of Scott et al. (Pat No 6,446,929).

The patent to Scott et al. shows a holder comprising a rotatable base having a ball-bearing racetrack (see Fig 4). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device shown by Christopher with a ball-bearing racetrack as shown by Scott et al. to allow the user to rotate it to facilitate its use.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,780

Art Unit: 3632

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the slots curved outwardly from the vertical axis are not shown by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patton (165,500) shows a rotatable base. Hopp (D 161,840), MacEwan (D 328,314), Leeb (5,413,305), Menaged (5,722,628) show pyramidal shaped holders. VanLandingham, Jr (5,911,398) shows a curved slot holding a document.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (703) 308-0748. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,780

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESLIE BRAUN can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

RAMON O. RAMIR Primary Examiner

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

ROR

September 21, 2004